

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/445,065 12/01/1999		STEFAN BODENSCHATZ	BEIERSDORF-5	9425		
826	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
ALSTON	& BIRD	LLP	MATHEW, FENN C			
BANK OF		A PLAZA STREET, SUITE 40		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		28280-4000	-	3764	,	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)		/			
	Office Asticus Comments	09/445,06	5	BODENSCHATZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Fenn C Ma		3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External extern	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil te, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	September 2	004.					
•	<u> </u>	nis action is no						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers		,					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	98)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/445,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/20/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abolina (SU 321,252). See paragraph 16 of the office action dated November 28, 2001. Additional discussion will be included in the section titled 'Response to Arguments'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 3764

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abolina in view of Lindenmeyer (5,599,283). Please refer to paragraph 8 of the office action dated September 17, 2003.

- 6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abolina in view of Munoz. Please refer to paragraphs 9-10 of the office action dated September 17, 2003.
- 7. Claims 11-12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abolina in view of Johnson (4,550,869). Please refer to paragraphs 11-12 of the office action dated September 17, 2003.
- 8. Claims 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aboline in view of Ford. Please refer to the paragraph 13 of the office action dated September 17, 2003.
- 9. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abolina in view of Ford as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Cherbini. Please refer to paragraph 14 of the above cited office action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 09/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is reminded that claims are examined in their broadest reasonable light, and that examination is based on the claim language. Applicant has argued that "There is no indication in the figures of Abolina that strap 3 attaches at any point to strap 5 on the upper right arm of the wearer."

While this may be true, the argument is not relevant, as the feature has not been

Art Unit: 3764

included in the claims. With respect to the argument "there is no suggestion in Abolina that strap 3 extends across the back of the patient towards the strap 5 on the upper right arm." once again, the feature has not been included in the claim language. As claimed, the current claim only requires that the holding strap pass behind the back (thus at any portion of the back), and connect to the upper arm part. The upper arm part seemingly extends across the back of the user, therefore, it need only connect to <u>any</u> portion of the 'upper arm part'. As broadly claimed, Abolina meets the structural limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jem

fcm

December 1, 2004

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

12/9/04